

EXHIBIT B

This Exhibit B identifies proposed amendments to OCP Policies and Actions which the Board of Supervisors shall consider pursuant to the Orcutt Community Plan MOU. The Board's duty under the MOU with regard to the amendments proposed in this Exhibit B extends no further than consideration of the amendments. The proposed amendments in this Exhibit B shall not provide grounds upon which Petitioners have the right to reactivate the action. In the event the Board fails to make a final decision on the amendments proposed herein, disapproves the amendments proposed herein, or adopts amendments substantially inconsistent with the amendments proposed herein, no right to reactivation shall exist.

PHASE II

Amend Policy KS22-2: When either 2000 LUPs have been approved on the other OCP Key Sites, or after January 1, 2017, whichever is sooner, the County shall consider redesignating/rezoning Key Site 22 to PD/PRD. The site shall also be considered as a TDC receiver site if the County has a TDC program at that time. Keysite 22 shall be developed only subject to a Specific Plan (Government Code §65450). Any proposed development shall comply with the following development standards.

New Action WAT-O-1.4: Supplemental Water Supply Report: No less often than every 5 years, County shall undertake review and publish a report on water supply issues relevant to the OPA. Said review and report shall address, at a minimum, the following issues:

- a. Identify and evaluate the factors affecting reliability of supplemental supplies. Review the effectiveness of state water entitlement "drought buffers" maintained by purveyors serving wholesale or retail customers in the OPA. Identify the known availability and use of water storage strategies, conjunctive groundwater use, or banking techniques that potentially enhance the reliability of supplemental water supplies delivered to the OPA. Report the projected range of potential state water delivery amounts to the County under drought and normal conditions for the forthcoming 5 year period, and the percentage of the County's full entitlement that all OPA and other County purveyors have accepted and are projected to accept during that period. (CWA, with CCWA)
- b. Calculate amount of supplemental water entitlement available for new development. Identify how much of supplemental water supplies are serving existing residents and other uses in the OPA, and calculate the amount of supplemental water available for future customers and new development in the OPA. (CWA, with PDD)
- c. Review fundamental assumptions of supplemental supply availability and reliability. Identify and review the central assumptions relied upon in state

predictions of future supply adequacy and reliability within the OPA such as conservation programs, management of drought buffer entitlement, and availability of storage facilities for drought buffers and/or other surplus water. Identify any instances where OPA purveyors' actions and/or policies significantly differ from the central assumptions concerning the availability, reliability or adequacy of water supplies. (CWA)

- d. Compare projections of demand with actual consumption. Compare the projected water demand from each approved project, subdivision or area of development and the total actual consumption from these projects, subdivisions or areas of development, to the extent possible. Identify projects, subdivisions or areas of development whose actual consumption is substantially different from the projected demand. (PDD)
- e. Changed conditions affecting entitlement. Report any modification of the County master and/or purveyors' state water entitlement due to master contract adjustments, reductions in entitlement or delivery due to reduced state water project system reliability, over-subscription, system failure or other changed circumstances. (CWA)